IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE SEVENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 4122 of 2005

Between:

K. Ramanamma, W/o. Lakshminarayana,
Thumukunta Village, Galiveedu Mandal, Kadapa District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Joint Collector, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
2 The revenue Divisional Officer, Kadapa, Kadapa District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents particularly the 2nd respondent in suspending the petitioner pending enquiry as fair prince shop dealer of Thumukunta Village in Galiveedu Mandal, of Kadapa District in his Ref. C/598/2004 dt. 09.08.2004 without any reason or basis and without application of mind or enquiry asillegal, unjust, arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice and statutory provisions and consequently set aside the order passed by the 2nd respondent in his Ref. C/598/2004 dt. 09.08.2004 and pass such other order or orders.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.L.J.VEERA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court made the following :

***ORAL ORDER*:**

Heard both sides. Perused the material placed before me.

Aggrieved by the action of the 2nd respondent in suspending his authorisation as a fair price shop dealer, pending enquiry, the petitioner filed this writ petition.

However, the record reveals that the petitioner had preferred an appeal before the 1st respondent, against the order of the 2nd respondent and the same is pending.

Having regard to the said fact, since the suspension of the authorisation of the petitioner is pending enquiry only, the petitioner is at liberty to file an explanation before the 2nd respondent and on receipt of any such explanation from the petitioner, the 2nd respondent shall proceed with the enquiry and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

With the above direction, the writ petition is closed. No costs.

---

kvsn 07-03-2005

To

1 The Joint Collector, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
2 The revenue Divisional Officer, Kadapa, Kadapa District.

3 Two CCs to GP for Civil Supplies, High Court buildings, Hyderabad.

4 Two CDs.